

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 25-0750 FMO (PVCx)	Date	February 18, 2025
Title	Saira Sanchez v. G2 Secure Staff, LLC		

Present: The Honorable		Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge	
Vanessa Figueroa	None		None
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorney Present for Plaintiff(s):		Attorney Present for Defendant(s):	
None Present		None Present	

**Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Jurisdiction**

On January 28, 2025, plaintiff Saira Sanchez filed this action against G2 Secure Staff, LLC (“defendant”) asserting state law claims. (See Dkt. 1, Complaint). Federal subject matter jurisdiction is based on diversity jurisdiction. (See *id.* at ¶ 5).

However, plaintiff has failed to put forth sufficient allegations for the court to determine whether it has diversity jurisdiction. More specifically, the citizenship of plaintiff and defendant is unclear. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT plaintiff shall file a First Amended Complaint setting forth the citizenship of each party no later than **February 25, 2025**. Failure to file a First Amended Complaint by the deadline set forth above shall be deemed as consent to the dismissal of the action without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction and/or failure to comply with a court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962); *Edwards v. Marin Park, Inc.*, 356 F.3d 1058, 1065 (9th Cir. 2004) (“The failure of the plaintiff eventually to respond to the court’s ultimatum – either by amending the complaint or by indicating to the court that it will not do so – is properly met with the sanction of a Rule 41(b) dismissal.”); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-63 (9th Cir. 1992) (affirming dismissal for failure to file amended complaint as ordered by district court).

Initials of Preparer 00 : 00  
vdr